PTOSB33 (07-05)

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patient and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPATRING FO COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)	
		60816(71719)	
	Application N	umber	Filed
	10/773,023-Conf. February 4, 2004 #5331 First Named Inventor		February 4, 2004
	Eiichi Harada et al.		
	Art Unit		Examiner
	2625		M. T. Riley
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.			
I am the			
applicant /inventor.		/Brian R. Landry/	
assignee of record of the entire interest.		Signature	
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)		Brian R. Landry	
		Typed or printed name	
x attorney or agent of record.			
Registration number 62,074			
		(6	617) 239-0150
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.		Telephone number	
		July 21, 2008	
			Date
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.			
*Total of 1 forms are submitted.			

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: July 21, 2008

Signature:/Brian R. Landry/

Docket No.: 60816(71719) (PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Eiichi Harada et al.

Application No.: 10/773,023 Confirmation No.: 5331

Filed: February 4, 2004 Art Unit: 2625

For: FILM HOLDER AND IMAGE READING Examiner: M. T. Riley

CONTROL APPARATUS

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

MS AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The following remarks support Applicants' Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed concurrently herewith in the above-referenced application. The remarks are presented in five pages or less filed concurrently with Applicant's Notice of Appeal.

Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,835,201 to Itano et al. (hereinafter "Itano") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,982,816 to Kurosawa (hereinafter "Kurosawa '816") and U.S. Patent No. 6,714,324 to Kurosawa (hereinafter "Kurosawa '324").

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Itano, Kurosawa '816, Kurosawa '324, and U.S. Patent No. 7,106,480 to Huang (hereinafter "Huang").

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Itano, Kurosawa '816,

Kurosawa '324, and Huang.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Itano and Kurosawa 816.

Applicants respectfully request review of the following clear factual errors in the Office Action mailed on March 19, 2008. No amendments are filed with this request.

Docket No : 60816(71719)

Page 2

First Clear Error: The Office Action Mischaracterizes Itano's "Original Holder"

The Final Office Action states at Page 7 that Itano discloses a "film holder being adapted to be put on an original bed of a flatbed image reader which is capable of reading the transparency and includes a read area corresponding to frames the number of which is half the number of frames of one roll of strip film" as claimed by Applicants. Applicants respectfully assert that Itano does not teach or suggest such a feature.

Itano discloses an "original holder" configured to allow a scanner to recognize whether an image is "vertically long" or "laterally long" and scan accordingly. See, e.g., Itano, col. 2, lines 33-37.

Itano does <u>not</u> teach or suggest a film holder adapted to hold a film strip. Rather, Itano only shows the scanning of a single image. <u>See, e.g., id.</u> Fig. 3. Likewise, Itano does <u>not</u> teach or suggest a film holder adapted to be put on a flatbed image reader which includes a read area corresponding to half of the number of frames on one roll of strip film.

As a result of this clear error, the Office Action reached an erroneous conclusion that the claimed inventions are obvious in light of Itano in view of the film strip holder of Kurosawa '816. When properly characterized, it is manifest that the combination of Itano and Kurosawa '816 neither teaches nor suggests a film holder adapted for use with a flat bed scanner which includes a read area corresponding to half of the number of frames.

Second Clear Error: The Office Action Mischaracterizes Kurosawa '324

The Final Office Action states at Page 9 that "Kurosawa '324 discloses a film holder provided at a position where a first corner of the film holder is matched with a first corner of the original bed having the same positional relationship as the first corner of the film holder, a first frame having one half of the frames of the strip film contained in the read area". Applicants respectfully assert that Kurosawa '324 does not teach or suggest such a feature.

Kurosawa '324 discloses a film scanner including a transport table carried by guide bars and a film holder connected to the transport table by rails. Kurosawa '324 is completely silent regarding a flat bed scanner or an original bed. Accordingly, Kurosawa '324 does not teach that the "film holder is provided at a position where a first corner of the film holder is matched with a first corner of the original bed" as stated in the Final Office Action.

Docket No : 60816(71719)

Page 3

Third Clear Error: The Office Action Mischaracterizes Itano With Respect to Rotation

The Final Office Action states at Page 7 that Itano discloses "a preview unit that previews frames of the read film while changing the display order and the rotation direction of the frames changed in response to the determination result of the determination unit." Applicants respectfully assert that this characterization of Itano is incorrect.

Itano clearly states that the image is not rotated. <u>See</u> Itano, col. 8, lines 13-25 ("The structure of the embodiment does not require any time-consuming electric or mechanical image rotations as the conventional system does, but promptly gives an erecting image.") Therefore, Itano does not teach or suggest to change the display order and the rotation direction of the frames.

The Office Action cites column 12, lines 58-67 of Itano as allegedly showing the claimed feature. However, this passage merely discusses the application of identifying slits to all four sides of the original carrier in order to facilitate the insertion of the original carrier in the 180-degree direction and the 270-degree direction. Such configuration still does not teach, suggest, or necessitate the rotation of an image. Rather, scanning in the 180-degree direction and the 270-degree direction under Itano could be performed by reversing either the primary scanning direction or the secondary scanning direction.

Applicants submit that claims 1-10 are in condition for allowance and request withdrawal of the Final Office Action and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Applicants submit that no fees are required for the submission of the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review other than Notice of Appeal fee under 37 C.F.B. § 41.20(b)(1) U.S. Serial No. 10/773,023 Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review

and the One-Month Extension of Time fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1), both of which are concurrently submitted herewith. However, if any additional fees are required for the entry and consideration of this Request, these Remarks or any other paper filed herewith, the Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge Deposit Account No. 04-1104 under order number 60816(71719).

Dated: July 21, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

By: /Brian R. Landry/ Brian R. Landry Registration No.: 62,074 John J. Penny, Jr. Registration No.: 36,984 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. Box 55874 Boston, Massachusetts 02205 (617) 239-0150 Attorneys For Applicant

Docket No.: 60816(71719)

Page 4

Customer Number: 21874